

## $C_\lambda$ –Rate Sequence Space Defined by a Modulus Function

İ. Dağadur<sup>1</sup>, C. Çatal and Ş. Sezgek

Mersin University, Faculty of Science and Literature  
Department of Mathematics, 33343 Mersin - Turkey

Copyright © 2014 İ. Dağadur, C. Çatal and Ş. Sezgek. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### Abstract

Recall that a  $C_\lambda$  method is obtained by deleting a set of rows from the Cesàro matrix  $C_1$ . The purpose of this article is to introduce a new class of sequence space using a modulus function  $f$ , namely  $C_\lambda$ –rate sequence space. It is denoted by  $C_\lambda(f, p, \pi)$ , and study some inclusion relations and topological properties of this space.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 40A05, 40D25, 40G05, 46A45, 42A10

**Keywords:** Modulus function, rate sequence space, paranorm,  $C_\lambda$ –summability method

### Introduction

The notion of modulus function was introduced by Nakano [11] and further investigated by Ruckle [12], Maddox [9], Tripathy and Chandra [15] and many others. A function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a modulus if

- (i)  $f(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$ ,
- (iii)  $f$  is increasing,
- (iv)  $f$  is continuous from the right at 0.

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author

It is immediate from (ii) and (iv) that  $f$  is continuous everywhere on  $[0, \infty)$ . It is easy to see that  $f_1 + f_2$  is a modulus function when  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are modulus functions and that the function  $f^i$  ( $i$  is a positive integer), the composition of a modulus function  $f$  with itself  $i$  times is also a modulus function.

Let  $\ell^0$  be the space of all real sequences. For  $1 < p < \infty$ , the Cesàro sequence space

$$ces_p = \left\{ x \in \ell^0 : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| \right]^p < \infty \right\}$$

was first defined by Shiue in [14]. Various geometric properties of this space were studied by many others. The mentioned space was used in the theory of matrix operator and others. Also, it is used by Lee [1] and Lui, Wu, Lee [3]. The generalized Cesàro sequence space  $ces(p)$  were introduced and studied by Sanhan and Suantai [13]. It is defined as follows

$$ces(p) = \{x \in \ell^0 : \rho(\lambda x) < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\},$$

where

$$\rho(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| \right]^{pn}$$

is a convex modular on  $ces(p)$ . Bala, in [7] continued to work on Cesàro sequence space defined by a modulus function and to give some algebraic and topological properties.

Let  $w$  denote the space of all real or complex-valued sequence. It can be topologized with the seminorms  $p_n(x) = |x_n|$ , ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), any vector subspace  $X$  of  $w$  is a sequence space. A sequence space  $X$  with a vector space topology  $\tau$ , is a  $K$ -space provided that the inclusion map  $i : (X, \tau) \rightarrow w$ ,  $i(x) = x$ , is continuous. If, in addition,  $\tau$  is complete, metrizable and locally convex then  $(X, \tau)$  is an FK-space. So an FK-space is a complete, metrizable locally convex topological vector space of sequences for which the coordinate functionals  $P_n(x) = x_n$ , ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), are continuous. The basic properties of FK-spaces may be found in [18], [19] and [21].

Ruckle [12] used the idea of a modulus function  $f$  to construct a class of FK spaces

$$L(f) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(|x_k|) < \infty \right\}.$$

Let  $\pi = (\pi_n)$  be a sequence of positive numbers i.e,  $\pi_n > 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $X$  an FK-space. We shall consider the sets of sequences  $x = (x_n)$

$$X_\pi = \{x \in w : (\frac{x_n}{\pi_n}) \in X\}.$$

The set  $X_\pi$  may be considered as FK-space. We shall call them as rate spaces (see, [4] and [5]).

Let  $F$  be an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $F$  as the range of a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers, say  $F = \{\lambda(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . The Cesáro submethod  $C_\lambda$  is defined as

$$(C_\lambda x)_n = \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} x_k, \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where  $\{x_k\}$  is a sequence of a real or complex numbers. Therefore, the  $C_\lambda$ -method yields a subsequence of the Cesáro method  $C_1$ , and hence it is regular for any  $\lambda$ .  $C_\lambda$  is obtained by deleting a set of rows from Cesáro matrix. The basic properties of  $C_\lambda$ -method can be found in [16] and [17]. We need the following inequality throughout the paper. Let  $p = (p_k)$  be a sequence of positive real numbers with  $G = \sup_k p_k$  and  $D = \max(1, 2^{G-1})$ . Then, it is well known that for all  $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{C}$ , the field of complex numbers, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(1) \quad |a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq D (|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k}).$$

Also for any complex  $\mu$ ,

$$(2) \quad \mu^{p_k} \leq \max(1, \mu^G)$$

see in [8].

Now we introduce the  $C_\lambda$ -rate sequence space  $C_\lambda(f, p, \pi)$  using a modulus function  $f$  as follows

$$C_\lambda(f, p, \pi) = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} < \infty \right\}.$$

Similarly, we can define that

$$C_\lambda(p, \pi) = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right]^{p_n} < \infty \right\}.$$

### MAIN RESULTS

**THEOREM 1.** *Let the sequence  $p = (p_n)$  be bounded. Then the set  $C_\lambda(f, p, \pi)$  is linear space over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ , for any modulus function  $f$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $x, y \in C_\lambda(f, p, \pi)$ . For  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ , there exist integers  $M_\alpha$  ve  $N_\beta$  such that  $\alpha \leq M_\alpha$  and  $\beta \leq N_\beta$ . By definition of modulus function and

inequalities (1) and (2) we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{\alpha x_k + \beta y_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{\alpha x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) + f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{\beta y_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} \\
& \leq D \left( \max(1, M_{\alpha}^G) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} \right) \\
& \quad + D \left( \max(1, N_{\beta}^G) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{y_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\alpha x + \beta y \in C_{\lambda}(f, p, \pi)$ , and completes the proof of Theorem.

**THEOREM 2.**  $C_{\lambda}(f, p, \pi)$  topological linear space paranormed by

$$g^*(x) = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}},$$

where  $G = \sup_n p_n < \infty$  and  $M = \max(1, G)$ .

The proof follows by using standart techniques and the fact that every paranormed space is a topological linear space [20, p. 37]. So we omit the details.

**THEOREM 3.**  $C_{\lambda}(f, p, \pi)$  is a Fréchet space paranormed by

$$g^*(x) = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}}$$

where  $G = \sup_n p_n < \infty$  and  $M = \max(1, G)$ .

**Proof.** In view of Theorem 2. it suffices to prove the completeness of  $C_{\lambda}(f, p, \pi)$ .  $(x^{(i)})$  be any Cauchy sequence in  $C_{\lambda}(f, p, \pi)$ , where

$$(x^{(i)}) = (x_1^{(i)}, x_2^{(i)}, \dots), \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then given any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist a positive integer  $N$  depending on  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$g^*(x^{(i)} - x^{(j)}) < \varepsilon, \quad \forall i, j \geq N.$$

Using the definition of paranorm we write

$$g^*(x^{(i)} - x^{(j)}) = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k^{(i)} - x_k^{(j)}}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} < \varepsilon, \quad \forall i, j \geq N.$$

This implies that for each fixed  $k$ ,  $\left| \frac{x_k^{(i)}}{\pi_k} - \frac{x_k^{(j)}}{\pi_k} \right| \rightarrow 0$  as  $i, j \rightarrow \infty$  and so  $(x^{(i)})$  is any Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ , but  $\mathbb{C}$  is complete so as  $j \rightarrow \infty$   $x_k^{(j)} \rightarrow x_k \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now from (3) we have

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k^{(i)}}{\pi_k} - \frac{x_k^{(j)}}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} < \varepsilon^M, \text{ for each } i, j > N.$$

From (4), for any fixed natural number  $K$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^K \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k^{(i)}}{\pi_k} - \frac{x_k^{(j)}}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} < \varepsilon^M, \text{ for each } i, j > N,$$

by taking  $j \rightarrow \infty$  in the above expression we can get

$$\sum_{n=1}^K \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k^{(i)}}{\pi_k} - \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} < \varepsilon^M, \text{ for each } i > N.$$

Since  $K$  is arbitrary by taking  $K \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k^{(i)}}{\pi_k} - \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} < \varepsilon^M, \text{ for each } i > N,$$

that is  $g^*(x^{(i)} - x) < \varepsilon$  for each  $i > N$ .

To show that  $x \in C_\lambda(f, p, \pi)$ , let  $j > N$  and fix  $n_0$ . Since  $p_k/M \leq 1$  and  $1 \leq M$  using Minkowski's inequality and definition of modulus function we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{n=1}^{n_0} \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ &= \left( \sum_{n=1}^{n_0} \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} - \frac{x_k^{(j)}}{\pi_k} + \frac{x_k^{(j)}}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{n_0} \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} - \frac{x_k^{(j)}}{\pi_k} \right| \right) + f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k^{(j)}}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{n_0} \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} - \frac{x_k^{(j)}}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} + \left( \sum_{n=1}^{n_0} \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k^{(j)}}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\ &\leq \varepsilon + g^*(x^{(j)}). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

The below theorem gives us the inclusion relations between  $C_\lambda(f, p, \pi)$  and  $C_\lambda(f, q, \pi)$  spaces.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $p = (p_n)$  and  $q = (q_n)$  are bounded sequences of positive real numbers with  $0 < p_n \leq q_n < \infty$  for each  $n$ . Then for any modulus  $f$ ,  $C_\lambda(f, p, \pi) \subset C_\lambda(f, q, \pi)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $x \in C_\lambda(f, p, \pi)$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} < \infty.$$

Hence, since  $f$  non-decreasing, we get

$$f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \leq 1$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ . Thus we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{q_n} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} < \infty.$$

This shows that  $x \in C_\lambda(f, q, \pi)$  and completes the proof.

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $r = (r_n)$  and  $t = (t_n)$  are bounded sequences of positive real numbers with  $0 < r_n, t_n < \infty$  and  $p_n = \min(r_n, t_n)$ , then for any modulus  $f$ ,  $C_\lambda(f, q, \pi) = C_\lambda(f, r, \pi) \cap C_\lambda(f, t, \pi)$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $p_n = \min(r_n, t_n)$  we can write  $p_n \leq r_n$  and  $p_n \leq t_n$ . It follows from Theorem 4 we obtain  $C_\lambda(f, q, \pi) \subset C_\lambda(f, r, \pi)$  and  $C_\lambda(f, q, \pi) \subset C_\lambda(f, t, \pi)$ . For any complex  $\mu$ ,  $\mu^{p_n} \leq \max(\mu^{r_n}, \mu^{t_n})$ ; thus  $C_\lambda(f, r, \pi) \cap C_\lambda(f, t, \pi) \subset C_\lambda(f, q, \pi)$  and the proof is completed.

Consequently, we now give some information on multipliers for  $C_\lambda(f, p, \pi)$ . For any set  $E$  of sequences, the space of multipliers of  $E$ , denoted by  $M(E)$ , is given by

$$M(E) = \{a \in w : a.x \in E \text{ for all } x \in E\}.$$

**THEOREM 6.** *If  $G = \sup_k p_k < \infty$ , then for any modulus  $f$ , the inclusion  $\ell_\infty \subset M(C_\lambda(f, p, \pi))$  is strict.*

**Proof.** Let  $a = (a_k) \in \ell_\infty$ . Then for  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$  we write  $a_k < 1 + [K]$  for some  $K > 0$ , where  $[K]$  denotes the integer part of  $K$ . From (2), we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{a_k x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} \leq (1 + [K])^G \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} \right).$$

Since  $x \in C_\lambda(f, p, \pi)$  hence we get  $\ell_\infty \subset M(C_\lambda(f, p, \pi))$ .

We take modulus function  $f^u$  instead of  $f$  in the space  $C_\lambda(f, p, \pi)$ . Now we

define the composite space  $C_\lambda(f^u, p, \pi)$  as follows. For a fixed natural number  $u$  we define

$$C_\lambda(f, p, \pi) = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f^u \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} < \infty \right\}.$$

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $f$  be a modulus function and  $u \in \mathbb{N}$ , then*

- (i) *If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} = \gamma > 0$  then  $C_\lambda(f^u, p, \pi) \subset C_\lambda(p, \pi)$ ,*
- (ii) *If there exists a positive constant  $\delta$  such that  $f(t) \leq \delta t$  for all  $t \geq 0$  then  $C_\lambda(p, \pi) \subset C_\lambda(f^u, p, \pi)$ .*

**Proof.** (i) Following the proof of Proposition of Maddox [6], we have  $\gamma = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} = \inf \left\{ \frac{f(t)}{t} : t > 0 \right\}$ . Let  $\gamma > 0$ . By definition of  $\gamma$  we have  $\gamma t \leq f(t)$  for all  $t > 0$ . Since  $f$  is increasing we write  $\gamma^2 t \leq f^2(t)$ . So by induction we get  $\gamma^u t \leq f^u(t)$ . Let  $x \in C_\lambda(f^u, p, \pi)$ . Using inequality (2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{p_n} &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \gamma^{-u} f^u \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} \\ &\leq \max(1, \gamma^{-uG}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f^u \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} \end{aligned}$$

hence  $x \in C_\lambda(p, \pi)$ .

- (ii) Since  $f(t) \leq \delta t$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $f$  is an increasing function we have

$$f^u(t) \leq \delta^u t$$

for each  $v \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $x \in C_\lambda(p, \pi)$ , then from inequality (2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f^u \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \delta^u \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} \\ &\leq \max(1, \delta^{uG}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $x \in C_\lambda(f^u, p, \pi)$ . This completes the proof.

**THEOREM 8.** *Let  $m, u \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $m \leq u$ . If there exists a positive constant  $\delta$  such that  $f(t) \leq \delta t$  for all  $t > 0$ , then*

$$C_\lambda(p, \pi) \subseteq C_\lambda(f^m, p, \pi) \subseteq C_\lambda(f^u, p, \pi).$$

**Proof.** Let  $r = u - m > 0$ . Since  $f(t) < \delta t$  we have

$$f^u(t) \leq M^r f^m(t) \leq M^u t,$$

where  $M = 1 + [\delta]$ . Let  $x \in C_\lambda(p, \pi)$ . By the above inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f^u \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} &\leq M^{rG} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f^m \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right) \right]^{p_n} \\ &\leq M^{vG} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{x_k}{\pi_k} \right| \right)^{p_n} \end{aligned}$$

and hence the proof is completed.

### References

- [1] P.Y.Lee, Cesáro sequence spaces, *Math. Chorinicle*, 13 (1984), 29-45.
- [2] G. M. Leibowitz, A note on the Cesáro sequence spaces, *Tamkang J. Math.* 2 (1971), 151-157.
- [3] Y.Q. Lui, B. E. Wu, P.Y.Lee, *Method of Sequence Spaces*, Guangdong of Science and Technology Press, 1996 (Chinese).
- [4] E. Jürimäe, Matrix mappings between rate-spaces and spaces with speed, *Acta Comm. Univ. Tartu.*, 970(1994), 29-52.
- [5] E. Jürimäe, Properties of domains of mappings between rate-spaces and spaces with speed, *Acta Comm. Univ. Tartu.*, 970(1994), 53-64.
- [6] Johann Boos, *Classical and Modern methods in summability*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.
- [7] I. Bala, On Cesáro sequence space defined by a modulus function, *Demonstratio Mathematica*, XLVI,1 (2013) 157-163.
- [8] I. J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Chambridge Univ. Press, 1970 (first edition).
- [9] I. J. Maddox, Sequence spaces defined by a modulus, *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 100 (1986), 161-166.
- [10] I. J. Maddox, Inclusion between FK spaces and Kuttner's theorem, *Math. Proc. Canb. Philos. Soc.* 101 (1987), 523-527.
- [11] H. Nakano, Concave modulars, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 5 (1953), 29-49.
- [12] W. H. Ruckle, FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded, *Canad. J. Math.* 25 (1973), 973-978.
- [13] W. Sanhan, S. Suantai, On k-nearly uniform convex property in generalized Cesáro sequence spaces, *Internat. J. Math. Sci.* 57 (2003), 3599-3607.
- [14] J.S. Shiue, On the Cesáro sequence spaces, *Tamkang J. Math.* I (1970), 19-25.
- [15] B. C. Tripathy and P. Chandra, , On Some Generalized Difference Paranormed Sequence Spaces Associated with Multiplier Sequences Defined by Modulus Function; *Anal. Theory Appl.*; 27:1(2011), 21-27
- [16] D. H. Armitage and I. J. Maddox, A new type of Cesáro mean, *Analysis*. 9 (1989), 195-204.



- [17] J. A. Osikiewicz, Equivalence Results for Cesáro Submethods, *Analysis*, 20 (2000), 35-43.
- [18] A. Wilansky, *Summability Through Functional Analysis*, North Holland, 1984.
- [19] A. Wilansky, *Functional Analysis*, Blaisdell Press, 1964.
- [20] A. Wilansky, *Modern Methods in Topological Vector Spaces*, McGraw-Hill, 1978.
- [21] K. Zeller, Allgemeine Eigenschaften von Limitierungsverfahren, *Math. Z.* 53 (1951), 463-487.

**Received: September 15, 2014; Published: November 3, 2014**