



Research Note

Willingness to pay as an economic instrument for coastal tourism management: Cases from Mersin, Turkey

Sevda Birdir^{a,1}, Özlem Ünal^{b,*}, Kemal Birdir^{c,2}, Allan T. Williams^{d,3}

^a University of Mersin, Erdemli School of Applied Technology and Management, Mersin, Turkey

^b Strada di Passo Carini 10/c, 05020 Amelia, Terni, Italy

^c University of Mersin, Tourism Faculty, Ciftlikkoy Campus, 33343 Yenisehir, Mersin, Turkey

^d Swansea Metropolitan University, Built Environment, Swansea, UK

H I G H L I G H T S

- ▶ Data was gathered from 402 beach users in three Mediterranean beaches in Turkey.
- ▶ Ninety two per cent of respondents were willing to pay to see the beaches improved.
- ▶ By three beaches WTP of €2.33, €2.22, €1.77 per adult beach visit were found.
- ▶ Fixed price per visit was found the highly rated mode of payment.
- ▶ Results have 'worthy of attention' tourism policy implications for local governments.

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history:

Received 27 September 2012

Accepted 13 October 2012

Keywords:

WTP
Contingent valuation
Turkey
Beaches
Beach management
Coastal tourism

A B S T R A C T

This paper reports results from a Willingness to Pay study using data from 402 respondents at the Turkish beaches of Kizkalesi, Yemiskumu and Susanoglu near Mersin. Of the respondents 92% expressed a WTP to see the beaches improved through tackling issues of washed up litter and man-made debris, provision of more social activities and to maintain the quality of the beach. The mean values to pay a reasonable charge were €2.33 for Kizkalesi, €2.22 for Yemiskumu and €1.77 for Susanoglu beach per adult beach visit. Fixed price per visit followed by voluntary box and through local taxes were the preferred mode of payment. It is suggested these findings have value for local governments for efficient beach management.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Beaches are not only fundamental assets for the natural balance of coastal ecosystems but also important resources for tourism. Those two particular characteristics of beaches have always created contrasts especially along the Mediterranean coast where beaches are the main reasons for visiting the various destinations.

For Turkey, coastal tourism is an important source of revenue and beaches are one of the most important assets. However, coastal tourism is threatened mainly by excessive coastal developments, erosion and pollution. In Turkey, beaches are classed as public domains by the Constitution. The Greater Municipality Law (no: 5216; [Official Gazette of Turkey, 2004](#)) and Municipality Law (no: 5393; [Official Gazette of Turkey, 2005](#)) allows local governments to operate and rent beaches within their borders as well as provide their maintenance.

Since beaches are not only considered as public domain, but also as income sources, there are economic benefits to be derived from their recreational use. Through a WTP approach, it is possible to place an economic value, which in turn can be used as an economic policy. Studies by [Pearce and Barbier \(2000, p. 273\)](#), [Bateman, Lovett, and Brainard \(2003\)](#), [Hoyos, Mariel, and Fernández-Macho \(2009\)](#) and [Togridou, Hovardas, and Pantis \(2006\)](#) also support the relevance of the WTP approach.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 (0) 7441926072.

E-mail addresses: birdirss@mersin.edu.tr (S. Birdir), unalozlem68@gmail.com (Ö. Ünal), kemalbirdir@mersin.edu.tr (K. Birdir), allan.williams@virgin.net (A.T. Williams).

¹ Tel.: +90 324 5156039.

² Tel.: +90 324 3610001.

³ Tel.: +44 (0) 1656 783928.

Problems faced and possible solutions for sustainable use of beaches are one of the main concerns of beach management, this being part of wider integrated coastal zone management approach (Williams & Micallef, 2009). As stated by Williams and Davies (1999, p. 3), “effective beach management is a considered response to a specific interaction of cultural influences with the physical environment with the objective of developing a sustainable landscape resource”. Therefore beach managers must be able to identify the range and causes of problems leading to poor resort quality, understand the strengths and opportunities of the resort under question, devise comprehensive, practical and fundable action programs, encourage third parties to cooperate and guarantee a high quality result to locals, visitors, local government bodies and private investors.

2. Contingent valuation method and willingness to pay concept

Contingent Valuation Method (CVM) is a stated preference approach developed for estimating the economic value that individuals place on non-market goods. CVM has been an important development, as many goods and services arising from public projects, programs and policies are of an intangible nature and not traded in actual markets (Pearce, Atkinson, & Mourato, 2006).

Although originally proposed by Ciriacy-Wantrup (1947), CVM for environmental valuation was first used by Davis (1963).

However, the method's development dates to the mid-1970s. Since then it has become the most widely used environmental valuation technique. Especially since the 1990s, CVM has been extensively applied to valuation of environmental issues (Table 1). Although still controversial, the method has been and is still used by academics and policy makers for benefit estimation (Pearce et al., 2006). Carson (1999) noted nearly 900 CVM studies carried out over 50 countries. In the developing world, its use is more recent.

3. Case studies: Kizkalesi, Yemiskumu and Susanoglu beaches, Mersin

3.1. The study area

Mersin is located at the eastern Mediterranean coast of Turkey. With a population of 1,667,939 (2011 census) and a surface area of 15,853 square kilometers, it is the tenth most populous city and important port of Turkey. During the 1980s and 1990s, the Mersin coastline experienced rapid development, major land reclamations along city shores and erosion (Ünal & Birdir, 2007). However, one of the most distinctive features of the city as a whole is its bathing possibilities and beaches. Three beaches were selected for the study, namely Kizkalesi, Yemiskumu and Susanoglu all located along the southern coast of Mersin.

Table 1
Selected WTP studies carried out along European coastal destinations.

Micallef (1996)	Examined Maltese beach visitors' WTP (sample size: 80; WTP: £0.50–2.50)
Blakemore and Williams (1998)	Examined WTP of South Wales beach visitors (sample size: 146; mean WTP: £1.25)
Georgiou, Langford, Bateman, and Turner (1998)	Undertook CV study to investigate individuals' stated willingness to pay (WTP) to reduce perceived risks of illness from the quality of bathing water at two beaches in East Anglia, United Kingdom
Langford, Kontogianni, Skourtos, Georgiou, and Bateman (1998)	Open-ended CV survey to estimate the WTP of the public for the conservation of the Mediterranean monk seal (mean WTP: €11.7)
Machado and Mourato (1998)	Estimated the WTP for marine water quality improvement in Portugal
Ünal and Williams (1999)	Surveyed the domestic visitors at Cesme Peninsula, Turkey to evaluate the WTP to maintain and improve the beaches (sample size: 120; mean WTP: £0.89)
Blakemore, Williams, and Ozhan (2000)	Examined WTP of Oludeniz beach visitors in Turkey to maintain and improve the beaches (sample size: 76; mean WTP: £1.00)
Blakemore, Williams, Micallef, Coman, and Unal (2002)	Examined WTP of S. Georges Bay beach visitors in Malta to maintain and improve the beaches (sample size: 102; mean WTP: £0.64)
Taylor, Fredotoic, Povh, and Markandya (2002)	Attempted to assess the willingness-to-pay for environmental quality in the Croatian island of Hvar
Nunes, Rossetto, and de Blaeij (2004)	Utilized CV technique to estimate the WTP of the fishermen for alternative clam fishing management practices in Venice Lagoon;
Togridou, Hovardas, and Pantis (2006)	Examined the WTP of the visitors for the National Marine Park of Zakynthos in Greece beaches (sample size: 484; mean WTP: €6.15);
Blakemore and Williams (2008)	Examined the WTP of British tourists in Oludeniz, Turkey to maintain and improve the prime tourist beach beaches (sample size: 246; mean WTP: €0.90)
Fan (2008)	Examined the WTP of the foreign visitors in Crete for marine turtle conservation (sample size: 91; mean WTP: €28.77);
Preißler (2009)	examined the quality of European coastal water by German tourists at Westerland on Sylt in Germany and utilized WTP approach for the improvement of the water quality;
Logar and van den Bergh (2012)	Examined WTP in Croatia (Crikvenica) to preserve the beaches (sample size: 745*; mean WTP: €2.51 for paid beach, €1.65 for free beach)
Riera, McConnell, Giergiczny, and Mahieu (2011)	Assessed the WTP to avoid loss of the sites (avoiding oil spills) at three Spanish beaches (mean WTP: €0.24, €0.46 and €1.73)
Sayan, Williams, Johnson, and Unal (2011)	Assessed the WTP of the British tourists placed for the conservation of the marine turtles in Belek, Antalya, Turkey (sample size: 76; mean WTP: £0.89)
Halkos and Matsiori (2012)	Carried out a CV survey along a coastal line of an area in Central Greece (Volos) to examine visitors' attributes and desired site specific characteristics in order to determine the factors affecting willingness to pay (WTP) for an improvement quality program.
Stithou and Scarpa (2012)	Investigated the determinants of foreign visitors' participation in a conservation scheme for marine diversity using collective and voluntary payment modes in the context of CVM
Ariza, Ballester, Rigall-I-Torrent, Salo, Roca, and Villares (2012)	Tested the relationships between Travel Cost Method and Hedonic Prices in the Northwestern Mediterranean coast to value beach integral quality and its attributes;

* In the study, travel cost model and standard CVM model were used. Figures in the table refer to the latter. For the former model, mean WTP values are €2.16 for the paid beach and €1.62 Euro for the free beach (total of 644 samples).

Table 2
Ways that beach visitors would prefer beaches to be improved.

	Kizkalesi		Yemiskumu		Susanoglu	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
To meet the necessity of beach facilities	8	5.70	7	9.60	6	5.60
Cleanness	64	45.70	44	60.30	60	56.10
Availability of beach sports	5	3.60	4	5.50	2	1.90
Security	11	7.90	0	–	5	4.70
Provision of more social services	15	10.70	7	9.60	16	15.00
Prevention of noise pollution	3	2.10	0	–	1	0.90
Provision of planned developments	20	14.30	7	9.60	7	6.50
Preventing renting out beaches	4	2.90	0	–	0	–
Provision of facilities for the disabled	1	0.70	1	1.40	0	–
Provision of WC and showers free for the public	9	6.40	3	4.10	10	9.30
Total	140	100.00	73	100.00	107	100.00

3.2. Method

A valuation survey was conducted in order to determine the non-market value placed upon three beaches along the Mersin coast. Data was gained from a randomly selected cohort of visitors at Kizkalesi, Yemiskumu and Susanoglu beaches. Questionnaires were carried out during August and September 2011. Out of 432 participants, 402 provided usable survey results. A non-parametric one way analysis of variance test (Kruskal–Wallis) was used to test whether samples originated from the same distribution or not. The parametric equivalent of this test is ANOVA, the one-way analysis of variance (Ott, Larson, & Mendenhall, 1983).

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Demographic profile of the visitors

Gender balance was 58% males and 42% females. In terms of occupation, the highest percentage belongs to the self-employed, in all three cases (46%, 27% and 36% accordingly), followed by students and employees. Average monthly earnings was found as €498.41 (at Kizkalesi: €503.97; Yemiskumu: €446.43; Susanoglu: €463.49) and 13.4% of the respondents refused to state their income.

4.2. Beach use, beach perceptions and WTP

Results showed that 48% of the respondents visit the beach every day and 53% of beach users spent between 1 and 4 h on the beaches followed by between 4 and 8 h. The main reason for visiting the beaches was 'swimming'. Children's play, scenery and fresh air were stated as other main purposes for choosing the

Table 3
Preferred mode of payment for maintenance of the beaches visited.

Preferred mode of payment	Kizkalesi		Yemiskumu		Susanoglu		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Local tax	24	28.90	6	15.80	18	35.30	48	35.83
Volunteer box	20	24.10	10	26.30	12	23.50	42	24.42
Fixed price per visit	29	34.90	15	39.50	11	21.60	55	32.98
Car parking charge	6	7.20	4	10.50	1	1.96	11	6.40
Voluntary works	3	3.60	3	7.90	8	15.70	14	8.14
Other means	1	1.20	0	–	1	1.96	2	1.16
Total	83	100.00	38	100.00	51	100.00	172	100.00

Table 4
Willingness to pay by respondents at the beaches.

WTP (in Euros)	Kizkalesi		Yemiskumu		Susanoglu		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
0.40	14	12.2	10	18.50	21	29.60	45	18.75
0.80	10	8.70	11	20.40	9	12.70	30	12.50
1.19	7	6.10	3	5.60	13	18.30	23	9.58
1.59	1	0.90	–	–	–	–	1	0.42
1.98	62	53.90	23	42.60	21	29.60	106	44.16
3.17	1	0.90	–	–	–	–	1	0.42
3.97	17	14.80	4	7.40	6	8.50	27	11.25
5.95	1	0.90	2	3.70	–	–	3	1.25
7.94	2	1.70	1	1.90	1	1.40	4	1.67
Total	115	100.00	54	100.00	71	100.00	240	100.00

1 € = 2,52 YTL (www.tcmb.gov.tr "Indicative exchange rates announced by the Central Bank of Turkish Republic, August 2011).

beaches. Swimming and viewing, as the purpose of visits are in line with previous Turkish studies (Ünal & Morgan, 2000; Ünal & Williams, 1999).

Washed up litter and man-made debris were stated as the prime dislike at all three beaches. This is in accord with beach surveys undertaken by Blakemore and Williams (1998) at Wales, Ünal and Williams (1999) and Sayan, Williams, Johnson, and Ünal (2011) at Turkish beaches. 91.79% of respondents would like to see the beaches improved and cleanliness, more social activities and landscape improvements were stated as the preferred ways to improve the beaches (Table 2). Ninety-two percent of respondents of the total sample agreed with the payment for the better maintenance or improvement of the beaches visited. Only 5% of the respondents replied as 'do not know' and another 3% were not willing to pay for it.

Despite the fact that 369 respondents agreed to see the beaches maintained, only 172 of them (47%) replied to the preferred mode of payment (Table 3). 'Payment per visit' was the preferred method of payment for Kizkalesi (34.90%) and Yemiskumu beaches (39.50%). However, the highest number of respondents of Susanoglu beach (35.30%) preferred payment through a 'local tax' followed by 'volunteer box' and payment per visit.

Respondents' preference for paying a fee per visit is in line with findings of previous beach surveys (Blakemore & Williams, 1998; Blakemore, Williams, Micallef, Coman, & Ünal, 2002; Sayan et al., 2011; Ünal & Williams, 1999). Two hundred and forty beach users stated their willingness to pay (65% of the respondents who are willing to pay) and WTP amounts ranged from €0.40 to €7.94 (Table 4). Mean values found were €2.33 for Kizkalesi, €2.22 for Yemiskumu and €1.77 for Susanoglu beaches. Mean values were found higher (almost double in some cases) than other studies reported in previous surveys for Mediterranean beaches (Williams & Micallef, 2009). There is a statistically significant difference between age and WTP; no correlation was found between monthly income and occupation and WTP. The reason of this could be that an individual although ready to pay for a certain amount from their income, in reality this is not always the case.

5. Conclusions and policy implications

Results revealed that the value placed on beach improvement could be substantial and those values could be used for efficient beach management. Furthermore, such studies are believed to have an influence on societies on the WTP to conserve and protect natural resources. With this study it was seen that approximately €1.70–2.30 can be applied to the beaches as a fixed price per visit for maintenance and improvement.

The study findings have policy implications for local governments. Coastal management policies and programs aiming to conserve natural resources are believed to consider the results of such studies and direct ways for implementation. A better understanding of the fragility of natural resources could ultimately help focus on environmental conservation policies and programs and their implementation.

Acknowledgments

Allan T. Williams wishes to knowledge that this paper is a contribution of the e-Geo Research Group, Universidade do Lisboa, Portugal.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2012.10.020>.

References

- Ariza, E., Ballester, E., Rigall-I-Torrent, R., Saló, A., Roca, E., & Villares, M. (2012). On the relationship between quality, users' perception and economic valuation in NW Mediterranean beaches. *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 63, 55–66.
- Bateman, I. J., Lovett, A. A., & Brainard, J. S. (2003). *Applied environmental economics: A GIS approach to cost benefit analysis*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Blakemore, F. B., & Williams, A. T. (1998). User valuation of South Wales beaches: willingness to pay and travel cost methods. *Shore and Beach*, 66(4), 18–23.
- Blakemore, F., & Williams, A. (2008). British tourists' valuation of a Turkish beach using contingent valuation and travel cost methods. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 24(6), 1469–1480.
- Blakemore, F. B., Williams, A. T., Micallef, A., Coman, C., & Ünal, Ö. (2002). A comparison of tourist evaluation of beaches in Malta, Romania and Turkey. *Journal of World Leisure*, 44(2), 29–41.
- Blakemore, F. B., Williams, A. T., & Ozhan, E. (2000). Tourist valuation of Olu Deniz beach, Turkey, using contingent valuation and travel cost approaches. *Journal of World Leisure*, 4, 48–55.
- Carson, R. T. (1999). *Contingent valuation: A user's guide*. Discussion paper, San Diego: University of California, Department of Economics.
- Ciriacy-Wanthrop, S. V. (1947). Capital return from soil-conservation practices. *Journal of Farm Economics*, 29, 1181–1196.
- Davis, R. K. (1963). *The value of outdoor recreation: An economic study of the Maine woods*. Unpublished PhD dissertation, Harvard University.
- Fan, Z. (2008). *Investigating the potential for a PES (payment for environmental services) system for marine turtle conservation: The case of protection of marine turtle breeding sites in Crete*. Greece. Enschede: ITC.
- Georgiou, S., Langford, I. H., Bateman, I. J., & Turner, R. K. (1998). Determinants of individuals' willingness to pay for perceived reductions in environmental health risks: a case study of bathing water quality. *Environment and Planning A*, 30(4), 577–594.
- Halkos, G., & Matsiori, S. (2012). Determinants of willingness to pay for coastal zone quality improvement. *Journal of Socio-Economics*, 41(4), 391–399.
- Hoyos, D., Mariel, P., & Fernández-Macho, J. (2009). The influence of cultural identity on the WTP to protect natural resource: some empirical evidence. *Ecological Economics*, 68, 2372–2381.
- Langford, I. H., Kontogianni, A., Skourtos, M. S., Georgiou, S., & Bateman, I. J. (1998). Multivariate mixed models for open-ended contingent valuation data: willingness to pay for conservation of monk seals. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 12, 443–456.
- Logar, I., & van den Bergh, J. (2012). Respondent uncertainty in contingent valuation of preventing beach erosion: an analysis with a polychotomous choice question. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 113, 184–193.
- Machado, F., & Mourato, S. (June 1998). *Improving the assessment of water related health impacts: Evidence from coastal waters in Portugal*. Paper presented at the First World congress on environmental and resource economics, Venice.
- Micallef, A. (1996). Socio-economic aspects of beach management: a pilot study of the Maltese Islands. In E. Ozhan (Ed.), *Proceedings of the international workshop on ICZM in the Mediterranean and Black Seas: Immediate needs for research, education, training and implementation* (pp. 111–124). Ankara: Middle East Technical University Press.
- Nunes, P. A. L., Rossetto, L., & de Blaeij, A. (2004). Measuring the economic value of alternative clam fishing management practices in the Venice Lagoon: results from a conjoint valuation application. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 51, 309–320.
- Official Gazette of Turkey. (2004). *Buyuksehir Belediyesi Kanunu – 5216*. Official Gazette date: 23.07.2004/25531.
- Official Gazette of Turkey. (2005). *Belediyeler Kanunu – 5393*. Official Gazette date: 13.07.2005/25874.
- Ott, L., Larson, R. F., & Mendenhall, W. (1983). *Statistics: A tool for the social sciences*. Boston: Massachusetts: Duxbury Press.
- Pearce, D., Atkinson, G., & Mourato, S. (2006). *Cost-benefit analysis and the environment: Recent developments*. OECD Publishing.
- Pearce, D. W., & Barbier, E. B. (2000). *Blueprint for a sustainable economy*. London: Earthscan.
- Preißler, S. (2009). Evaluation of the quality of European coastal water by German tourists. In G. Schernewski, H. Janßen, & S. Schumacher (Eds.), *Coastal change in the southern Baltic sea region, coastline reports, Vol. 12* (pp. 177–186). Leiden: EUCC – The Coastal Union.
- Riera, P., McConnell, K. E., Giergiczny, M., & Mahieu, P. A. (2011). Applying the travel cost method to Minorca beaches: some policy results. In J. Bennet (Ed.), *The international handbook on non-market environmental valuation* (pp. 60–73). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Sayan, S., Williams, A. T., Johnson, D. E., & Ünal, Ö. (2011). A pilot study for sustainable tourism in the coastal zone of Antalya, Turkey: tourists, turtles or both? *Journal of Coastal Research*, 51, 64, 1806–1810.
- Stithou, M., & Scarpa, R. (2012). Collective versus voluntary payment in contingent valuation for the conservation of marine biodiversity: an exploratory study from Zakynthos, Greece. *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 56, 1–9.
- Taylor, T., Fredotoic, M., Povh, D., & Markandya, A. (2002). *Sustainable tourism and economic instruments: The case of Hvar, Croatia. UNEP PAP-RAC project sustainability of SAP: Development of economic instruments for the sustainable implementation of the strategic action programme to address marine pollution from land-based activities in the Mediterranean (SAP MED)*.
- Togridou, A., Hovardas, T., & Pantis, J. D. (2006). Determinants of visitors' willingness to pay for the National Marine Park of Zakynthos, Greece. *Ecological Economics*, 60, 308–319.
- Ünal, Ö., & Birdir, K. (2007). How can we cope environmental sustainability with tourism-based economic development? Beach nourishment projects as a way to rehabilitate our coasts and contribution to tourism. In H. Gokcekus (Ed.), *Proceedings of the international conference on environment: Survival and sustainability, Vol. 4* (pp. 1339–1356). Nicosia (Lefkosa), Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus: Educational Foundation of Near East University.
- Ünal, Ö., & Morgan, R. (2000). User input to beach management decision making at beaches on the Cesme Peninsula, Turkey. *Periodicum Biologorum*, 102(Suppl.1), 433–438.
- Ünal, Ö., & Williams, A. T. (1999). Beach visits and willingness to pay: Cesme Peninsula, Turkey. In E. Ozhan (Ed.), *Proceedings of the MEDCOASTEMECS 99 joint conference, land-ocean interactions: Managing coastal ecosystems* (pp. 1149–1162). Ankara: Middle East Technical University Press.
- Williams, A. T., & Davies, P. (1999). In G. Randazzo (Ed.), *Beach management guidelines: Dimensional analysis* (pp. 10). Coastal Environmental Management, EUCC-Italy/EUCC, Electronic publishing.
- Williams, A. T., & Micallef, A. (2009). *Beach management: Principles and practices*. 445 pp. London: Earthscan.



Sevda Sahilli Birdir. She was born in 1969 in Antakya – Turkey and completed her elementary –middle school and high school education in Antakya. She earned a BS degree from School of Tourism and Hotel Management of Mersin University in 1998 and received her Master's degree in 2001 again from School of Tourism and Hotel Management at Mersin University. She worked as a lecturer between 2001 and 2006 in Nevşehir Vocational School of Erciyes University. She earned her PhD degree in 2009 in Erciyes University, Department of Production Management and Marketing. Between 2006 and 2012, she was a lecturer in Social Science Vocational School of Mersin University. Currently, she is an assistant professor in Department of Business Information Management in School of Applied Technology and Management Erdemli of Mersin University.



Özlem Ünal. Özlem Ünal is an Urban Planner with over 20 years of professional experience. She received her Master's and PhD degree from Dokuz Eylül University, Faculty of Architecture in Izmir, Turkey and she lectured at the same faculty between 1989 and 2002. Much of her research focused on coastal tourism development and Integrated Coastal Zone Management issues and published in international conference proceedings and journals. She is a Medcoast Institute 1995 alumni. Since 2002, she extended her research area on coastal erosion and beach nourishment as a part of coastal zone management and development. She lives and works in Italy.



Kemal Birdir, He was born in 1967 in Adana- Turkey. He earned his bachelor degree in Tourism Management in 1989 from Cukurova University Mersin School of Tourism and Hotel Management. The same year he was assigned as a research assistant in the same school and awarded a scholarship to complete his graduate degrees abroad. In 1994, he earned his MBA in Tourism Management from Hawaii Pacific University and in 1998, Ph.D. at Purdue University's Restaurant, Hotel, Institutional and Tourism (RHIT) program. Since 1998, he was working at Mersin University School of Tourism and Hotel Management (now Tourism Faculty) and currently is an Associate Professor of Hotel Management at the same school.



Allan T. Williams, Allan T. Williams is an Emeritus Professor at Swansea Metropolitan University, UK. and has worked and published on coastal processes and management (more than 300 scientific papers on these topics), in Africa, the Americas, the Far East and Europe. He holds both geography and geology degrees but additionally is a chartered engineer as well as a chartered scientist. He is an ex editor of the *Journal of Coastal Conservation and Management* and serves on the editorial board of the *Journal of Coastal Research*, as well as being a trustee of that journal. He is a Founder member of the Medcoast organisation.